

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,685	11/06/2003	Steven Dale Ittel	CL2256USNA	1126
23906 7:	7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			BULLOCK, IN SUK C	
	NT RECORDS CENTER L PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1764	
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X, ·			4				
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/702,685	ITTEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		In Suk Bullock	1764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 N	<u>ovember 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
, -	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
		·	ed in this National Stage				
* S	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
decline attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	de)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Tr							

Application/Control Number: 10/702,685

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed April 5, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

There is no record that applicants submitted a copy of the non-patent literature titled "Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology."

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: an incorrect dependent claim number is recited. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/702,685

Art Unit: 1764

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' admitted prior art and Schiffino (6,555,723) in view of Gibson et al. (6,461,994) and Estrada et al. (6,723,677).

Applicants admit it is known to prepare alpha-olefins by catalyzed oligomerization of ethylene using as a part of a catalyst system a complex of a late transition metal with a tridentate ligand wherein a process stream comprising said alpha-olefins and said catalyst system is produced. The examiner cites the reference to Schiffino as evidence and in support of applicants' admitted prior art. Thus, the limitations recited in claims 2-7 are known in the art as disclosed by the cited reference and admitted prior art.

The difference between the claimed invention and the admitted prior art and Schiffino is the presently claimed catalyst deactivator.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,685

Art Unit: 1764

The Gibson et al. reference discloses a catalyst for oligomerization and polymerization of monomers comprising a transition metal complex compound (col. 1, line 59 to col. 2, line 49). Gibson et al. also disclose that when it is desired to terminate polymerization or oligomerization the catalyst can be contacted with catalyst deactivators such as alcohols and others known to persons of skill in the art (col. 10, lines 42-48).

The Estrada et al. reference discloses Ziegler-Natta catalyst systems for use in polymerization (col. 1, lines 7-12). The reference also discloses a variety of catalyst deactivators such as carboxylic acids and alcohols (col. 5, lines 55-59).

However it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified known oligomerization process by employing any of the catalyst deactivators disclosed by Gibson et al. and Estrada et al. when it is desired to terminate the oligomerization process, whether partially, completely, or temporarily.

With respect to the claimed amount of catalyst deactivator added to the process, it is within the level of one ordinarily skilled in the art to determine the optimum amount necessary to achieve desired results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to In Suk Bullock whose telephone number is 571-272-5954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,685 Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

I.B.

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner